

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

SAP SE, BUSINESS OBJECTS SOFTWARE LTD.
t/a SAP SOLUTIONS, and SYBASE, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

TRAYPORT LIMITED,

Defendant.

Lead Case No. 1:25-cv-00562

(Consolidated with
Case No. 1:25-cv-02075)

Honorable Sunil R. Harjani

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**JOINT STATUS REPORT REGARDING
THE MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION PANEL'S DECISION**

Pursuant to the Court's minute entry (Dkt. No. 46), the parties submit this status report informing the Court that today the Judicial Panel for Multidistrict Litigation (the "Panel") granted Defendant's motion to transfer under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the District of Delaware. The Panel's Transfer Order is attached.

Dated: August 11, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ James L. Davis, Jr.

Jeffrey Bushofsky (IL Bar No. 6224593)
191 North Wacker Drive, 32nd Floor
ROPES & GRAY LLP
Chicago, IL 60606
Telephone: (312) 845-1200
jeffrey.bushofsky@ropesgray.com
francis.liesman@ropesgray.com

James R. Batchelder
James L. Davis, Jr. (IL Bar No. 6286015)
ROPES & GRAY LLP
525 University Avenue, 8th Floor
Palo Alto, CA 94301
Telephone: (650) 617-4000
james.batchelder@ropesgray.com
james.l.davis@ropesgray.com

Abed Balbaky
Meredith Cox
ROPES & GRAY LLP
1211 Avenue of the America
New York, NY 10036
Telephone: (212) 596-9062
abed.balbaky@ropesgray.com
meredith.cox@ropesgray.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Adam R. Brausa

Adam R. Brausa (IL Bar No. 6292447)
Daralyn J. Durie
John S. Douglass
Tannyr M. Pasvantis
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
425 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 268-6053
abrausa@mofocom
ddurie@mofocom
jdouglass@mofocom
tpasvantis@mofocom

Ryan J. Malloy
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
707 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 6000
Los Angeles, CA 90017
(213) 892-5482
rmalloy@mofocom

Regan J. Rundio
Elizabeth C. Knuppel
Catherine J. Canby
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
300 Colorado Street, Suite 1800
Austin, TX 78701
(512) 617-0650
rrundio@mofocom
bknuppel@mofocom
ccanby@mofocom

Attorneys for Defendant

- 2 -

the patents that centralization of all of the actions in the various districts may offer important efficiencies.

Plaintiffs also argue that informal coordination among the parties and the involved courts is preferable to Section 1407 centralization. To be sure, only four actions are pending in three districts, and two of them have been consolidated. Despite the low number of cases and involved courts, we see a significant possibility of duplicative pretrial proceedings, conflicting schedules, and inconsistent rulings absent centralization—particularly with respect to complex and time-consuming tasks such as claim construction and ruling on issues of patent validity. Indeed, motions to dismiss have been briefed and are pending in all three districts. The efficiencies to be gained, for both the parties and the judicial system, by having a single court overseeing claim construction and discovery relating to the patents are substantial. In these circumstances, centralization is superior to any voluntary efforts by the parties and the judges presiding over these cases.

The District of Delaware is an appropriate transferee district for this litigation. At least one plaintiff and one defendant are incorporated there, and it is convenient to the several international parties. Judge Maryellen Noreika has not yet had the opportunity to serve as a transferee judge, and we are confident she will steer this litigation on a prudent and expeditious course.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the actions listed on Schedule A and pending outside the District of Delaware are transferred to the District of Delaware and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Maryellen Noreika for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings.

PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION



Karen K. Caldwell
Chair

Nathaniel M. Gorton
Roger T. Benitez
Madeline Cox Arleo

Matthew F. Kennelly
Dale A. Kimball

**IN RE: SAP SE, ET AL.,
PATENT LITIGATION**

MDL No. 3158

SCHEDULE A

District of Delaware

SAP SE, ET AL. v. TSX ALPHA U.S. INC., C.A. No. 1:25-00232

Northern District of Illinois

SAP SE, ET AL. v. TRAYPORT LIMITED, C.A. No. 1:25-00562

SAP SE, ET AL. v. TRAYPORT LIMITED, C.A. No. 1:25-02075

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

SAP SE, ET AL. v. TSX Inc., C.A. No. 2:25-01038