

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN LIGHT-BASED
PHYSIOLOGICAL MEASUREMENT
DEVICES AND COMPONENTS
THEREOF**

**Inv. No. 337-TA-1276
(Modification/Enforcement)**

**NOTICE OF RECOMMENDED DETERMINATION ON MODIFICATION
AND ENFORCEMENT INITIAL DETERMINATION**

(March 18, 2026)

On this date, the undersigned issued a recommended determination on modification and enforcement initial determination (“EID”) in the above-captioned matter.¹ This proceeding was conducted pursuant to the Commission’s Order Instituting a Combined Modification and Enforcement Proceeding (EDIS Doc. ID 863645) (Nov. 14, 2025) (“Commission Order”), which ordered the presiding administrative law judge to address certain issues relating to the “Apple Redesign 2 Watch.” *See* Commission Order at 3 n.1.

Pursuant to the Commission’s Order, and for the reasons discussed in the EID, it is the undersigned’s recommended determination and initial determination that Respondent Apple (“Apple”), Inc. does not directly infringe claim 22 of U.S. Patent No. 10,912,502 (“the ’502 patent”) or claims 12, 24, and 30 of U.S. Patent No. 10,945,648 (“the ’648 patent”) by importing and/or selling the Apple Redesign 2 Watch alone. The undersigned also finds that Apple does not induce infringement of claim 22 of the ’502 patent or claims 12, 24, and 30 of the ’648 patent by

¹ The EID has been issued with a confidential designation. A public version shall issue within 30 days, or in the time necessary to identify and redact the confidential business information therein, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.5(f). 19 C.F.R. § 210.5(f).

importing and/or selling the Apple Redesign 2 Watch, including because there is no underlying act of direct infringement by the Apple Redesign 2 Watch and iPhone once paired in the United States.

SO ORDERED.



Monica Bhattacharyya
Administrative Law Judge