IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

STATON TECHIYA, LLC, *Plaintiff*, v. SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., *Defendants*.	§ § § § § § § § § § § § §	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:21-CV-00413-JRG (LEAD CASE) CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-CV-00053-JRG (MEMBER CASE)

FINAL JUDGMENT

The Court held a bench trial in the above-titled civil actions on March 26 and 27, 2024. As explained in detail in the Court's Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Court found that Staton Techiya, LLC's ("Techiya") asserted patent claims are unenforceable against Defendants Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. (together, "Samsung") on the basis of unclean hands. (Dkt. No. 923).[1] Prior to the bench trial, Samsung "agreed that the bench trial will subsume all issues in its counterclaims as well as all of the equitable defenses it has against [Techiya]," with the understanding that if Samsung was successful at the bench trial its "remaining damages consist of attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses, which it may seek under 35 U.S.C. § 285, or other sanctions law." *See* (Dkt. No. 834 at 1); (Dkt. No. 841). The Court's Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law did not substantively address Samsung's additional grounds for the unenforceability of the Asserted Patents, including Samsung's non-patent counterclaims for (1)

[1] On May 29, 2024, this Court adopted the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Payne (Dkt. No. 700) and dismissed former-plaintiff Synergy IP Corporation's claims against Samsung with prejudice. (Dkt. No. 934).

knowingly participating in, or aiding and abetting, breach of fiduciary duty and (2) civil conspiracy, as such claims were rendered prudentially moot by the Court's unclean hands finding.[2] (*Id.* at 2).

Pursuant to Rule 58 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and in accordance with the Court's Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law (Dkt. No. 923), the Court hereby **ORDERS** and **ENTERS JUDGMENT** as follows:

1. The asserted claims of the Asserted Patents are unenforceable against Samsung and Techiya's claims of infringement are dismissed with prejudice;
2. Samsung's non-patent counterclaims are moot in view of the Court's finding of the unenforceability of the Asserted Patents and are dismissed without prejudice;
3. Samsung's patent counterclaims for non-infringement and invalidity of the Asserted Patents are dismissed without prejudice for lack of subject matter jurisdiction in view of the Court's finding of unenforceability and the dismissal with prejudice of Techiya's asserted patent claims; and
4. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(d), Local Rule CV-54, and 28 U.S.C. § 1920, Samsung is the prevailing party in this case and shall recover their costs from Plaintiff Techiya. Samsung is directed to file its proposed Bill of Costs.

Any request for relief pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285 must be filed as a subsequent motion herein within 28 days of this Judgment.[3] All other requests for relief now pending between Samsung and Techiya but not specifically addressed herein are **DENIED**.

[2] On March 24, 2024, the Court "accept[ed] Samsung's stipulation that it will no longer pursue the claim that Techiya is liable under the DTSA," which was Count XXI of Samsung's Counterclaims. (Dkt. No. 881 at 2).
[3] The Court notes that any requests for fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285 should be specific and explain in detail the bases supporting the award of the requested fees.

So Ordered this

Jun 21, 2024

RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE